UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,865	12/27/2006	Mario Pinza	292919US0PCT	8132
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			DAVIS, BRIAN J	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1621		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/586,865	PINZA ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian J. Davis	1621				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Brian J. Davis</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Dave Stitzel</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 31 July 2009.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>In general, 1-11</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: prior art of record.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Mr. Stitzel called to propose an outline of a response to the outstanding 103 rejection. The response would consist of 3 main points: case law with respect to the number of isomers; unexpected results; and the 1:1 ratio of the salt (in contrast to a 1:2 ratio). The examiner stated that each argument was reasonable and would help to overcome the rejection.</u>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	opy of the amendments that w					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Brian J. Davis/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621	7/31/09					